

30 OCT 86

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)	Initials	Date
1. EXA/DA	<i>gk</i>	10/30
2. MS/DA	<i>m</i>	30 OCT 1986
3. DDA	<i>A</i>	3 NOV 1986
4. ADDA	<i>A</i>	3 NOV 1986
5. DDA/REGISTRY		

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bldg.
	Phone No.

5041-102

* U.S.G.P.O.: 1963-421-529/320

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

TRANSMITTAL SLIP			10/29/86
TO: DDA			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		
REMARKS:			
FROM: D/L			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION	



29 OCT 1986

STAT
STAT
MEMORANDUM FOR: [redacted] DC/Information and Management Support
Staff

[redacted] C/Logistics/PINS
C/Operations Support Branch/SD
Deputy for Operations/FMD
[redacted] DC/Support Staff/PINS

FROM: John M. Ray
Director of Logistics

SUBJECT: Office of Logistics Task Force Group

STAT
STAT
1. The organizational structure of the Office of Logistics (OL) has not changed appreciably for many, many years. Given the changes in our mission, particularly during Mr. Casey's tenure as DCI, the organizational structure does not, in my humble opinion, accurately reflect the realities of our activities. The Facilities Management Division, for example, has not really jelled into a practical organizational structure since its creation some two years ago. The decentralization of our architects and engineers has made RECD into a shadow of its former self. The span of control of Supply Division is extended beyond reasonable limits. Activities [redacted]

[redacted] the new Headquarters Building, and the growing requirements for facility management (vice GSA), have all had a significant impact on OL's organizational structure. We, nevertheless, continue to live with a framework which was applicable in the 1960's and 70's.

2. Several months ago, the DDA suggested that "Office of Logistics" may not be the appropriate title of our organization; that it is not completely indicative of all OL activities. Since that time, I have been giving serious thought to a change in the organizational title.

3. In light of the above concerns, I would like to bring together a group of experienced officers like yourselves (who, in my opinion, will not cling to "rice bowls") to examine two issues: (1) the proper organizational structure of OL for the late 1980's and 90's; and (2) a more appropriate title which more accurately represents our organization. There is no sense of urgency for this important task--such a significant change will only be enacted upon after careful, methodical, complete

~~45~~ 1-6
ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

SUBJECT: Office of Logistics Task Force Group

deliberations. Nevertheless, I would like to get on with this effort, and I would appreciate your collective assistance. I will be contacting you in the next several weeks to arrange for a meeting so that we can discuss this matter in some detail.

STAT
STAT

4. You should be aware that, as a result of the [redacted] study, we will be undertaking a reorganization of the procurement activity within the next 9 to 12 months.

5. I anticipate that your initial preliminary report could be discussed with the division/staff chiefs in March 1987 during our next planning session.

6. Thanks for your help.

STAT

[redacted]

John M. Ray

cc: DDA
OL Div/Staff Chiefs